

A CURIOUS q -ANALOGUE OF HERMITE POLYNOMIALS

JOHANN CIGLER AND JIANG ZENG

ABSTRACT. Two well-known q -Hermite polynomials are the continuous and discrete q -Hermite polynomials. In this paper we consider a new family of q -Hermite polynomials and prove several curious properties about these polynomials. One striking property is the connection with q -Fibonacci and q -Lucas polynomials. The latter relation yields a generalization of the Touchard-Riordan formula.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The q -Hermite polynomials $H_n(x, s q)$	4
3. The rescaled discrete q -Hermite polynomials II	7
4. Connection with q -Fibonacci polynomials and q -Lucas polynomials	12
5. Appendix	16
5.1. Some well-known facts	16
5.2. A second proof of Proposition 14	17
Acknowledgement	19
References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

There are many ways to construct Hermite polynomials. For example, the normalized Hermite polynomials $H_n(x, s) = s^{n/2} H_n(x/\sqrt{s}, 1)$ ($n \geq 0$) may be defined by the recurrence relation:

$$H_{n+1}(x, s) = xH_n(x, s) - nsH_{n-1}(x, s), \quad (1.1)$$

with initial values $H_0(x, s) = 1$ and $H_{-1}(x, s) = 0$. By induction, we have

$$H_n(x, s) = (x - s\mathcal{D})^n \cdot 1, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mathcal{D} = \frac{d}{dx}$ denotes the differentiation operator. It follows that

$$\mathcal{D} H_n(x, s) = nH_{n-1}(x, s). \quad (1.3)$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 05A05, 05A15, 33C45; Secondary 05A10, 05A18, 34B24.

Key words and phrases. Hermite polynomials, q -Hermite polynomials, Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials, Hankel determinants, Catalan numbers, matchings, Touchard-Riordan.

June 18, 2010.

They have the explicit formula (see [1, Chapter 6])

$$H_n(x, s) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{2k} (-s)^k (2k-1)!! x^{n-2k}.$$

The first terms are

$$1, x, -s + x^2, -3sx + x^3, 3s^2 - 6sx^2 + x^4, 15s^2x - 10sx^3 + x^5.$$

The Hermite polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the linear functional defined by the moments

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n e^{-x^2/2} dx = \begin{cases} (n-1)!! & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In other words, the n -th moment μ_n of the measure of the Hermite polynomials is the number of the *complete matchings* on $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$, i.e., $\mu_{2n} = (2n-1)!!$ and $\mu_{2n+1} = 0$.

Consider the rescaled Hermite polynomials $p_n(z, x, s) = H_n(z - x, -s)$ defined by

$$p_{n+1}(z, x, s) = (z - x)p_n(z, x, s) + snp_{n-1}(z, x, s) \quad (1.4)$$

with initial values $p_0(z, x, s) = 1$ and $p_{-1}(z, x, s) = 0$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the linear functional on the polynomials in z defined by $\mathcal{F}(p_n(z, x, s)) = \delta_{n,0}$. Then, the moments $\mathcal{F}(z^n)$ are again the Hermite polynomials

$$\mathcal{F}(z^n) = (\sqrt{-s})^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (x/\sqrt{-s})^{n-k} \mu_k = H_n(x, s). \quad (1.5)$$

This is equivalent to say that the generating function of the Hermite polynomials $H_n(x, s)$ has the following continued fraction expansion:

$$H(z, x, s) = \sum_{n \geq 0} H_n(x, s) z^n = \frac{1}{1 - xz + \frac{sz^2}{1 - xz + \frac{2sz^2}{1 - xz + \frac{3sz^2}{\ddots}}}}. \quad (1.6)$$

Several q -Hermite polynomials were introduced in the literature (see [13, 9]). Two important classes of orthogonal q -analogues of $H_n(x, s)$ are the continuous and the discrete q -Hermite I polynomials, which are special cases of the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials.

We first introduce some standard q -notations. For $n \geq 1$ let

$$[n] := [n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}, \quad [n]_q! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_q, \quad [2n-1]_q!! = \prod_{k=1}^n [2k-1]_q,$$

and $(a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1})$ with $(a; q)_0 = 1$. The q -binomial coefficient is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} := \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and zero otherwise.

Recall [8] that the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials $P_n(x; a, b, c)$ satisfy the three term recurrence:

$$P_{n+1}(x; a, b, c) = (x - aq^n)P_n(x; a, b, c) - (c + bq^{n-1})[n]_q P_{n-1}(x; a, b, c) \quad (1.7)$$

with initial values $P_{-1}(x; a, b, c) = 0$ and $P_0(x; a, b, c) = 1$.

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{F}_{a,b,c}$ be the linear functional on the polynomials in z which satisfy

$$\mathcal{F}_{a,b,c}(P_n(z; a, b, c)) = \delta_{n,0}. \quad (1.8)$$

Note that the continuous q -Hermite polynomials are

$$\tilde{H}_n(x, s|q) = P_n(x; 0, 0, s) \quad (1.9)$$

and are also the moments (see [8] and Proposition 16):

$$\tilde{H}_n(x, s|q) = \mathcal{F}_{x,-s,0}(z^n). \quad (1.10)$$

The discrete q -Hermite polynomials I are

$$\tilde{h}_n(x, s; q) = P_n(x; 0, (1-q)s, 0). \quad (1.11)$$

The discrete q -Hermite polynomials II are

$$\tilde{h}_n(x; q) = (-i)^n \tilde{h}_n(ix, 1; q^{-1}). \quad (1.12)$$

Besides, as we will see in Section 4, the polynomials

$$h_n(x, s; q) := P_n(0; -x, 0, s) \quad (1.13)$$

are actually a rescaled version of $\tilde{h}_n(x; q)$. The main purpose of this paper is to study another q -analogue of Hermite polynomials.

Definition 2. The new q -Hermite polynomials are defined by

$$H_n(x, s|q) := \mathcal{F}_{x,0,-s}(z^n). \quad (1.14)$$

The q -Hermite polynomials $\tilde{H}_n(x, s|q)$ have, amongst other facts,

- (1) orthogonality with an explicit measure,
- (2) an explicit 3-term recurrence relation,
- (3) explicit expressions,
- (4) a combinatorial model using matchings,
- (5) are moments for other orthogonal polynomials,
- (6) evaluable Hankel determinants because of (5),
- (7) Jacobi continued fractions as generating functions because of (5).

The new q -Hermite polynomials $H_n(x, s|q)$ are not orthogonal, i.e., they do not have (1) and (2). Instead they have a nice q -analogue of the operator formula (1.2) for the ordinary Hermite polynomials (see Theorem 4), the coefficients in $H_n(x, s|q)$ appear in the inverse matrix of the coefficients in the continuous q -Hermite polynomials (cf. Theorem 5), they have simple connection coefficients with q -Lucas and q -Fibonacci polynomials (cf. Theorem 11). The discrete q -Hermite polynomials $h_n(x, s; q)$ also have (1)-(4), and we will show in Theorem 6 that they are also moments. Moreover, the quotients of two consecutive polynomials $h_n(x, s; q)$ (see Eq.(3.21)) appear as coefficients in the expansion of the S-continued fraction of the generating function of $H_n(x, s|q)$'s, which leads to a second proof of Theorem 4.

This paper is organized as follows: we prove the main properties of $H_n(x, s|q)$ and $h_n(x, s; q)$ in Section 2 and Section 3, respectively. In Section 4 we shall establish the connection of our new q -Hermite polynomials with the q -Fibonacci and q -Lucas polynomials. This yields, in particular, a generalization of Touchard-Riordan's formula for the moments of continuous q -Hermite polynomials (cf. Proposition 14), first obtained by Josuat-Vergès [10]. Finally, in Section 5, we recall some well-known facts about the general theory of orthogonal polynomials and give another proof of the generalized Touchard-Riordan formula by using the orthogonality of continuous q -Hermite polynomials.

2. THE q -HERMITE POLYNOMIALS $H_n(x, s|q)$

By (1.8) the q -Hermite polynomials $H_n(x, s|q)$ are the moments of the measure of the orthogonal polynomials $P_n(z)$ satisfying the recurrence:

$$P_{n+1}(z) = (z - xq^n)P_n(z) + s[n]_q P_{n-1}(z). \quad (2.1)$$

Recall [13, p.80] that the Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials $Q_n(x) := Q_n(x; \alpha, \beta)$ satisfy the three term recurrence:

$$Q_{n+1}(x) = (2x - (\alpha + \beta)q^n)Q_n(x) - (1 - q^n)(1 - \alpha\beta q^{n-1})Q_{n-1}(x), \quad (2.2)$$

with $Q_0(x) = 1$ and $Q_{-1}(x) = 0$. They have the following explicit formulas:

$$Q_n(x; \alpha, \beta|q) = (\alpha e^{i\theta}; q)_n e^{-i\theta} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & \beta e^{-i\theta} \\ \alpha^{-1} q^{-n+1} e^{-i\theta} & \end{matrix} \middle| q; \alpha^{-1} q e^{i\theta} \right), \quad (2.3)$$

where $x = \cos \theta$.

Comparing (2.1) and (2.2) we have $P_n(z) = \frac{1}{(2a)^n} Q_n(az; \alpha, 0)$ with

$$a = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{q-1}{s}} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = x \sqrt{\frac{q-1}{s}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Using the known formula for Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(z) &= \frac{1}{(2a\alpha)^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} q^k \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 + \alpha^2 q^{2i} - 2q^i a \alpha z) \\ &= \left(\frac{s}{x(q-1)} \right)^n \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} \left(\frac{-q}{s} \right)^k \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} ((q-1)q^i x z - s - (q-1)q^{2i} x^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

The first values of these polynomials are

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(z) &= z - x, \\ P_2(z) &= z^2 - x(1+q)z + (s + qx^2), \\ P_3(z) &= z^3 - x[3]_q z^2 + (2s + qs + q[3]_q x^2)z - (s + qs + q^2 s + q^3 x^2)x. \end{aligned}$$

A matching m of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a set of pairs (i, j) such that $i < j$ and $i, j \in [n]$. Each pair (i, j) is called an edge of the matching. Let $\text{ed}(m)$ be the number of edges of m , so $n - 2\text{ed}(m)$ is the number of unmatched vertices. Two edges (i, j) and (k, l) have a crossing if $i < k < j < l$ or $k < i < l < j$. Let $\text{cr}(m)$ be the number of crossing numbers in the matching m . Using the combinatorial theory of Viennot [17], Ismail and Stanton [8, Theorem 6] gave a combinatorial

interpretation of the moments of Al-Salam-Chihara polynomials. In particular we derive the following result from [8, Theorem 6].

Lemma 3. *The moments of the measure of the orthogonal polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}$ are the generating functions for all matchings m of $[n]$:*

$$\mathcal{F}_{x,0,-s}(z^n) = \sum_m x^{n-2\text{ed}(m)} (-s)^{\text{ed}(m)} q^{c(m)+\text{cr}(m)}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $c(m) = \sum_{a-\text{vertices}} |\{\text{edges } i < j : i < a < j\}|$ and the sum extends over all matchings m of $[n]$.

Let $M(n, k)$ be the set of matchings of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with k unmatched vertices. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{x,0,-s}(z^n) = \sum_k c(n, k, q) x^k (-s)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$c(n, k, q) = \sum_{m \in M(n, k)} q^{c(m)+\text{cr}(m)}. \quad (2.8)$$

It is easy to verify that

$$c(n, k, q) = c(n-1, k-1, q) + [k+1]_q c(n-1, k+1, q) \quad (2.9)$$

with $c(0, k, q) = \delta_{k,0}$ and $c(n, 0, q) = c(n-1, 1, q)$.

Indeed, if n is an unmatched vertex then for the restriction m_0 of m to $[n-1]$ we get $c(m_0) = c(m)$ and $\text{cr}(m_0) = \text{cr}(m)$. If n is matched with $m(n)$, such that there are i unmatched vertices and j endpoints of edges which cross the edge $(m(n), n)$ between $m(n)$ and n , then $c(m) = c(m_0) + i - j$ and $\text{cr}(m) = \text{cr}(m_0) + j$. Thus $c(m) + \text{cr}(m) = c(m_0) + \text{cr}(m_0) + i$. Since each i with $0 \leq i \leq k$ can occur we get (2.9).

Let now $\mathcal{D}_q$ be the q -derivative operator defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_q f(z) = \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{(1-q)z}.$$

We have then the following q -analogue of (1.2).

Theorem 4. *The q -Hermite polynomials $H_n(x, s|q)$, defined as moments $\mathcal{F}_{x,0,-s}(z^n)$, have the following operator formula:*

$$H_n(x, s|q) = (x - s\mathcal{D}_q)^n 1. \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. We know that

$$H_n(x, s|q) = \sum_k c(n, k, q) x^k (-s)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}, \quad (2.11)$$

where $c(n, k, q)$ satisfies (2.9). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(x, s|q) &= \sum_k c(n-1, k-1, q) x^k (-s)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} + \sum_k [k+1]_q c(n-1, k+1, q) x^k (-s)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \\ &= x H_{n-1}(x, s|q) - s \mathcal{D}_q H_{n-1}(x, s|q). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows then by induction on n . ■

Remark. It should be noted that the method of Varvak [16] (see also [10]) can also be applied to prove Theorem 4. In fact her method proves first that $(x - sD_q)^n 1$ is a generating function of some rook placements, which is then shown to count involutions with respect to the statistic $(m) + \text{cr}(m)$ (see [16, Theorem 6.4]). We will give another proof of (2.10) by using continued fraction, see the remark after Theorem 8.

The first terms of the sequence $H_n(x, s|q)$ are

$$1, x, -s + x^2, x(-(2+q)s + x^2), (2+q)s^2 - (3+2q+q^2)sx^2 + x^4, \\ x((5+6q+3q^2+q^3)s^2 - (4+3q+2q^2+q^3)sx^2 + x^4), \dots$$

Let

$$\tilde{H}_n(x, s|q) = \sum_k b(n, k, q) x^k (-s)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Theorem 5. *The matrices $(c(i, j, q))_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$ and $(b(i, j, q)(-1)^{\frac{i-j}{2}})_{i,j=0}^{n-1}$ are mutually inverse.*

Proof. We first show by induction that

$$\tilde{H}_n(x + sD_q, s|q)1 = x^n. \quad (2.13)$$

For this is obvious for $n = 0$. If it is already shown for n we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_{n+1}(x + sD_q, s|q)1 &= (x + sD_q)\tilde{H}_n(x + sD_q, s|q)1 - s[n]_q \tilde{H}_{n-1}(x + sD_q, s|q)1 \\ &= (x + sD_q)x^n - s[n]_q x^{n-1} = x^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_n(x + sD_q, s|q)1 &= \sum_{k=0}^n b(n, k, q)(-s)^{\frac{n-k}{2}}(x + sD_q)^k 1 \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n b(n, k, q)(-s)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \sum_{j=0}^k c(k, j, q) s^{\frac{k-j}{2}} x^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n s^{\frac{n-j}{2}} x^j \sum_{k=j}^n b(n, k, q)(-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} c(k, j, q). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

The result follows then by comparing (2.13) and (2.14). ■

Remark. If we set $q = 0$ then (2.9) reduces to the well-known Catalan triangle (see [2, Chap. 7]), which implies

$$\begin{aligned} c(2n, 0, 0) &= C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}, \\ c(2n, 2k, 0) &= \frac{2k+1}{n+k+1} \binom{2n}{n-k} = \binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1}, \\ c(2n+1, 2k+1, 0) &= \frac{2k+2}{n+k+2} \binom{2n+1}{n-k} = \binom{2n+1}{n-k} - \binom{2n+1}{n-k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall use the standard q -notations in [6]. The recurrence (2.1) implies that the Hankel determinants of $H_n(x, s|q)$ are

$$\det(H_{i+j}(x, s|q))_{i,j}^{n-1} = (-s)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} [j]_q! \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\det(H_{i+j+1}(x, s; q))_{i,j}^{n-1} = h_n(x, -s; q) (-s)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} [j]_q!, \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$h_n(x, -s; q) = (-1)^n P_n(0) = \left(\frac{s}{x(1-q)} \right)^n \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} q^k \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 + x^2(q-1)q^{2i}/s).$$

3. THE RESCALED DISCRETE q -HERMITE POLYNOMIALS II

By definition (1.13) and (1.7) we have

$$h_{n+1}(x, s; q) = q^n x h_n(x, s; q) - [n]_q s h_{n-1}(x, s; q). \quad (3.1)$$

We derive then

$$h_n(x, s; q) = q^{\binom{n}{2}} \sqrt{s^n} \tilde{h}_n \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{s}}; q \right) \quad (3.2)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n q^{\binom{n-2k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} [2k-1]_q! (-s)^k x^{n-2k}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\tilde{h}_n(x; q)$ are the discrete q -Hermite polynomials II, see (1.13).

Since $\mathcal{D}_q(fg) = \mathcal{D}_q(f)g + f(qx)\mathcal{D}_q(g)$ and $\mathcal{D}_q(x) = 1$, we see that

$$\mathcal{D}_q(h_{n+1}(x)) = q^n x \mathcal{D}_q(h_n(x)) + q^n h_n(qx) - [n]_q s \mathcal{D}_q(h_{n-1}(x)).$$

We derive by induction on n that

$$\mathcal{D}_q h_n(x, s; q) = [n]_q h_{n-1}(qx, s; q). \quad (3.4)$$

The first terms of these polynomials are

$$1, \quad x, \quad qx^2 - s, \quad q^3 x^3 - s[3]_q x, \quad q^6 x^4 - s(q^5 + q^4 + 2q^3 + q^2 + q)x^2 + s^2[3]_q.$$

The following result shows that the polynomials $h_n(x, s; q)$ are moments of some orthogonal polynomials.

Theorem 6. *The generating function of $h_n(x, s; q)$ has the continued fraction expansion:*

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} h_m(x, s; q) t^m = \frac{1}{1 - b_0 t - \frac{\lambda_1 t^2}{1 - b_1 t - \frac{\lambda_2 t^2}{1 - b_2 t - \frac{\lambda_3 t^2}{1 - \ddots}}}},$$

with

$$b_n = q^{n-1}(q^n + q^{n+1} - 1)x \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n = -q^{n-1}[n]_q(s + q^{2n-2}(1-q)x^2). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. To prove this it suffices to show that the Stieltjes tableau (5.3) is satisfied with

$$a(n, k) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} h_{n-k}(q^k x, s; q).$$

This is easily verified. ■

This implies that their Hankel determinants are

$$\det(h_{i+j}(x, s; q))_{i,j}^{n-1} = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} q^{\binom{n}{3}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} ([j]_q! (s + q^{2j}(1-q)x^2)^{n-1-j}) \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\frac{\det(h_{i+j+1}(x, s; q))_{i,j}^{n-1}}{\det(h_{i+j}(x, s; q))_{i,j}^{n-1}} = w(n), \quad (3.7)$$

where $w(n)$ satisfies

$$w(n+1) = q^{n-1}(q^n + q^{n+1} - 1)xw(n) + q^{n-1}[n]_q(s + q^{2n-2}(1-q)x^2)w(n-1).$$

It is easily verified that

$$w(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{2\binom{n-k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2k \end{bmatrix} [2k-1]_q! s^k x^{n-2k} \quad (3.8)$$

satisfies the same recurrence with the same initial values.

Lemma 7. *Let $L_n(x) := h_n(x, (1-q)s; q)$. Then*

$$sL_n(x) + xL_{n+1}(x) = (x^2 + s)L_n(qx). \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. First we note that the constant terms of both sides of (3.9) are equal to $sL_n(0)$. So it suffices to show that the derivatives of the two sides are equal. Applying $\mathcal{D}_q$ to (3.9) and using (3.4) we obtain, after replacing x by x/q ,

$$s[n]L_{n-1}(x) + xq[n-1]L_n(x) + L_{n+1}(x) = (x^2 + s)q[n]L_{n-1}(qx).$$

Since $L_{n+1}(x) = q^n x L_n(x) - (1 - q^n)sL_{n-1}(x)$, we can rewrite the above equation as follows:

$$sL_{n-1}(x) + xL_n(x) = (x^2 + s)L_{n-1}(qx). \quad (3.10)$$

The proof is thus completed by induction on n . ■

We shall prove the following Jacobi continued fraction expansion for the generating function of $(x + (1-q)s\mathcal{D}_q)^n \cdot 1$. This is equivalent to Theorem 4.

Theorem 8. Let $T_n(x, s) = (x + (1 - q)s\mathcal{D}_q)^n \cdot 1$. Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} T_n(x, s)t^n = \frac{1}{1 - b_0t - \frac{\lambda_1 t^2}{1 - b_1t - \frac{\lambda_2 t^2}{1 - \ddots}}}, \quad (3.11)$$

where the coefficients are

$$b_n = q^n x, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0; \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n = (1 - q^n)s, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. Since $T_n(x, s) = (x + (1 - q)s\mathcal{D}_q)T_{n-1}(x, s)$, we have

$$T_n(x, s) = \left(x + \frac{s}{x}\right)T_{n-1}(x, s) - \frac{s}{x}T_{n-1}(qx, s).$$

Equivalently the generating function $G(x, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} T_n(x, s)t^n$ satisfies the functional equation:

$$\left(1 - \frac{x^2 + s}{x}t\right)G(x, t) = 1 - \frac{s}{x}tG(qx, t). \quad (3.13)$$

Suppose that

$$G(x, t) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{c_1 t}{1 - \frac{c_2 t}{1 - \frac{c_3 t}{1 - \ddots}}}}, \quad (3.14)$$

where $c_n = (g_n - 1)g_{n-1}A$ with $A := A(x) = -\frac{x^2+s}{x}$ and $g_i := g_i(x)$.

Substituting (3.14) in (3.13) and then replacing t by t/A we obtain

$$\frac{1+t}{1 - \frac{(g_1-1)t}{1 - \frac{(g_2-1)g_1 t}{1 - \frac{(g_3-1)g_2 t}{1 - \frac{(g_4-1)g_3 t}{1 - \ddots}}}}} = 1 + \frac{\frac{s}{x^2+s}t}{1 - \frac{(g'_1-1)\frac{A'}{A}t}{1 - \frac{(g'_2-1)g'_1 \frac{A'}{A}t}{1 - \frac{(g'_3-1)g'_2 \frac{A'}{A}t}{1 - \ddots}}}}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $A' := A(qx)$ and $g'_i := g_i(qx)$. Comparing this with Wall's formula (see [12]):

$$\frac{1+z}{1 - \frac{(g_1-1)z}{1 - \frac{(g_2-1)g_1 z}{1 - \frac{(g_3-1)g_2 z}{1 - \frac{(g_4-1)g_3 z}{1 - \ddots}}}}} = 1 + \frac{g_1 z}{1 - \frac{(g_1-1)g_2 z}{1 - \frac{(g_2-1)g_3 z}{1 - \frac{(g_3-1)g_4 z}{1 - \ddots}}}}, \quad (3.16)$$

we derive that $g_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{cases} g_{2n} = \frac{A'}{A} \frac{g'_{2n-1} - 1}{g_{2n-1} - 1} g'_{2n-2}, \\ g_{2n+1} = \frac{A'}{A} \frac{g'_{2n} - 1}{g_{2n} - 1} g'_{2n-1}. \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \frac{s}{x^2 + s}, & g_3 &= \frac{A'}{A} \frac{g'_2 - 1}{g_2 - 1} g'_1 = \frac{s}{x^2 + s} \frac{1}{q}, \\ g_2 &= \frac{A'}{A} \frac{g'_1 - 1}{g_1 - 1} = q, & g_4 &= \frac{A'}{A} \frac{g'_3 - 1}{g_3 - 1} g'_2 = \frac{-s + qs + q^3 x^2}{-s + qs + qx^2}. \end{aligned}$$

In general we have the following result.

$$\begin{cases} g_{2n} = \frac{sL_n(x) + xL_{n+1}(x)}{(x^2 + s)L_n(x)}, \\ g_{2n+1} = \frac{sL_n(x)}{sL_n(x) + xL_{n+1}(x)}. \end{cases} \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (3.18)$$

This can be verified by induction on n . Suppose that the formula (3.18) is true for $n \geq 0$. We prove that the formula holds for $n + 1$. By (3.17) we have

$$g_{2n+2} = \frac{A'}{A} \frac{g'_{2n+1} - 1}{g_{2n+1} - 1} g'_{2n} = \frac{sL_n(x) + xL_{n+1}(x)}{(x^2 + s)L_{n+1}(x)} \frac{L_{n+1}(qx)}{L_n(qx)}.$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$g_{2n+2} = \frac{sL_{n+1}(x) + xL_{n+2}(x)}{(x^2 + s)L_{n+1}(x)}. \quad (3.19)$$

Since

$$L_{n+1}(x) - xL_n(x) = (q^n - 1)(xL_n(x) + sL_{n-1}(x)), \quad (3.20)$$

the verification for g_{2n+3} is then straightforward. We derive from (3.14) and (3.18) that

$$\begin{cases} c_{2n} = (g_{2n} - 1)g_{2n-1}A = (1 - q^n)s \frac{L_{n-1}(x)}{L_n(x)}, & \text{for } n \geq 1; \\ c_{2n+1} = (g_{2n+1} - 1)g_{2n}A = \frac{L_{n+1}(x)}{L_n(x)}, & \text{for } n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

Invoking the *contraction formula* (see [19]), which transforms a S-continued fraction to a J-continued fraction,

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{c_1 z}{1 - \frac{c_2 z}{1 - \frac{c_3 z}{1 - \frac{c_4 z}{\ddots}}}}} = \frac{1}{1 - c_1 z - \frac{c_1 c_2 z^2}{1 - (c_2 + c_3)z - \frac{c_3 c_4 z^2}{\ddots}}}, \quad (3.22)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{cases} b_n = \frac{h_{n+1}(x, (1-q)s; q)}{h_n(x, (1-q)s; q)} + (1-q^n)s \frac{h_{n-1}(x, (1-q)s; q)}{h_n(x, (1-q)s; q)} = q^n x, \\ \lambda_n = \frac{h_n(x, (1-q)s; q)}{h_{n-1}(x, (1-q)s; q)} \cdot (1-q^n)s \frac{h_{n-1}(x, (1-q)s; q)}{h_n(x, (1-q)s; q)} = (1-q^n)s. \end{cases} \quad (3.23)$$

This completes the proof. $\blacksquare$

Remark. Instead of the contraction formula (3.22), we can also proceed as follows. Define a table $(A(n, k))_{n, k \geq 0}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A(0, k) &= \delta_{k,0}, \\ A(n, 0) &= c_1 A(n-1, 1), \\ A(n, k) &= A(n-1, k-1) + c_{k+1} A(n-1, k+1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

In this case $A(2n, 2k+1) = A(2n+1, 2k) = 0$ for all n, k . If we define

$$a(n, k) = A(2n, 2k),$$

then it is easily verified that $a(n, k)$ satisfy (5.3) with

$$b_0 = c_1, \quad b_n = c_{2n} + c_{2n+1}, \quad \lambda_n = c_{2n} c_{2n-1}. \quad (3.25)$$

Substituting the values in (3.21) for c_n we obtain (3.23). Therefore

$$\sum_n A(2n, 0) t^n = \sum_n a(n, 0) t^n = \sum_n T_n(x, s) t^n.$$

As another application of this remark we prove the following result.

Proposition 9. Let $w_n(m, q) = q^{\frac{n((2m+1)n+1)}{2}}$. Then

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} w_n(m, q) t^n = \frac{1}{1 - b_0 t - \frac{\lambda_1 t^2}{1 - b_1 t - \frac{\lambda_2 t^2}{1 - b_2 t - \frac{\lambda_3 t^2}{1 - \ddots}}}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_n &= q^{(2m+1)n-m} (q^{(2m+1)n} - 1) + q^{(2m+1)(2n+1)-m}, \\ \lambda_n &= q^{(2m+1)(3n-1)-2m} (q^{(2m+1)n} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let

$$A(2n, 2k) = \frac{w_n(m, q)}{w_k(m, q)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^{2m+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad A(2n+1, 2k+1) = \frac{w_{n+1}(m, q)}{w_{k+1}(m, q)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q^{2m+1}}.$$

Then it is easily verified that the table (3.24) holds with $c_{2n} = q^{(2m+1)n-m} (q^{(2m+1)n} - 1)$ and $c_{2n+1} = q^{(2m+1)(2n+1)-m}$. Therefore

$$\sum_n A(2n, 0) t^n = \sum_n a(n, 0) t^n = \sum_n w_n(m, q) t^n.$$

■

4. CONNECTION WITH q -FIBONACCI POLYNOMIALS AND q -LUCAS POLYNOMIALS

We define the Lucas polynomials by

$$l_n(x, s) = xl_{n-1}(x, s) + sl_{n-2}(x, s) \quad \text{for } n > 2,$$

with initial values $l_1(x, s) = x$ and $l_2(x, s) = x^2 + 2s$. They have the explicit formula

$$l_n(x, s) = \sum_{2k \leq n} \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} s^k x^{n-2k} \quad (n > 0). \quad (4.1)$$

Furthermore we define $l_0(x, s) = 1$. Note that this definition differs from the usual one in which $l_0(x, s) = 2$.

The Fibonacci polynomials are defined by

$$f_n(x, s) = xf_{n-1}(x, s) + sf_{n-2}(x, s)$$

with $f_0(x, s) = 0$ and $f_1(x, s) = 1$. They have the explicit formula

$$f_n(x, s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-1-k}{k} s^k x^{n-1-2k}. \quad (4.2)$$

We first establish the following inversion of (4.1) and (4.2).

Lemma 10.

$$x^n = \sum_{2k \leq n} \binom{n}{k} s^k l_{n-2k}(x, -s), \quad (4.3)$$

$$x^n = \sum_{2k \leq n+1} \left(\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1} \right) s^k f_{n+1-2k}(x, -s). \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Recall the Tchebyshev inverse relations [15, p. 54-62]:

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k \frac{n}{n-k} \binom{n-k}{k} a_{n-2k} \iff a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} b_{n-2k}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $a_0 = b_0 = 1$, and

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k \binom{n-k}{k} a_{n-2k} \iff a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \left[\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1} \right] b_{n-2k}. \quad (4.6)$$

We derive immediately (4.3) from (4.1) and (4.5). Clearly (4.2) is equivalent to the left identity in (4.6) with $a_n = \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{s}} \right)^n$ and $b_n = \frac{f_{n+1}(x, -s)}{(\sqrt{s})^n}$. By inversion we find

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \left(\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1} \right) s^k f_{n+1-2k}(x, -s). \quad (4.7)$$

To see the equivalence of (4.4) and (4.7) we notice that

- if n is odd, then $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{k-1}$ for $k = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$,
- if n is even, then $\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

■

Define the q -Lucas and q -Fibonacci polynomials by

$$L_n(x, s) = l_n(x + (q-1)s\mathcal{D}_q, s) \cdot 1, \quad (4.8)$$

$$F_n(x, s) = f_n(x + (q-1)s\mathcal{D}_q, s) \cdot 1. \quad (4.9)$$

It is known (see [3] and [4]) that they have the explicit formulae

$$L_n(x, s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \frac{[n]}{[n-k]} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} s^k x^{n-2k}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$F_n(x, s) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} q^{\binom{k+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n-1-k \\ k \end{bmatrix} s^k x^{n-1-2k}, \quad (4.11)$$

for $n > 0$, with $L_0(x, s) = 1$ and $F_0(x, s) = 0$.

Theorem 11. *We have*

$$H_n(x, (q-1)s|q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} s^k L_{n-2k}(x, -s) \quad (4.12)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \left(\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1} \right) s^k F_{n+1-2k}(x, -s). \quad (4.13)$$

Proof. Since

$$L_n(x, -s) = l_n(x - (q-1)s\mathcal{D}_q, s) \cdot 1,$$

$$F_n(x, -s) = f_n(x - (q-1)s\mathcal{D}_q, s) \cdot 1,$$

the theorem follows by applying the homomorphism $x \mapsto x - (q-1)s\mathcal{D}_q$ to (4.3) and (4.4). ■

We derive some consequences of the formula (4.13).

Corollary 12. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(1, q-1|q) &= \sum_{k=-n}^n (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(3k+1)}{2}} \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-3k}{2} \rfloor} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(3k+1)}{2}} \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-3k}{2} \rfloor} + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k q^{\frac{k(3k-1)}{2}} \binom{n}{\lfloor \frac{n-3k+1}{2} \rfloor}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Proof. Let $r(k) = \frac{k(3k+1)}{2}$. Then, it follows from [3] that

$$F_{3n}(1, -1) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n-1} (-1)^k q^{r(k)}, \quad F_{3n+1}(1, -1) = F_{3n+2}(1, -1) = \sum_{k=-n}^n (-1)^k q^{r(k)},$$

or

$$F_n(1, -1) = \sum_{-n \leq 3j \leq n-1} (-1)^j q^{\frac{j(3j+1)}{2}}. \quad (4.15)$$

Let $w(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \left(\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1} \right) F_{n+1-2k}(1, -1)$. Consider a fixed term $(-1)^j q^{r(j)}$. This term occurs in $F_n(1, -1)$ if $-\frac{n}{3} \leq j \leq \frac{n-1}{3}$. We are looking for all k , such that this term occurs in $F_{n+1-2k}(1, -1)$. For $j \geq 0$ the largest such number is $k_0 = \lfloor \frac{n-3j}{2} \rfloor$. For $j \leq \frac{n-2k}{3}$ is equivalent with $k \leq k_0$. Therefore the coefficient of $(-1)^j q^{r(j)}$ in $w(n)$ is $\sum_{k=0}^{k_0} \left(\binom{n}{k} - \binom{n}{k-1} \right) = \binom{n}{k_0}$. For $j < 0$ we have $-\frac{n+1-2k}{3} \leq j$ is equivalent with $k \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1-3j}{2} \rfloor$. This gives the last sum in (4.14). ■

Corollary 13. *We have*

$$H_{2n} \left(1, \frac{q-1}{q} | q \right) = q^{-n} \sum_{j=-n}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-3j} - \binom{2n}{n-3j-1} \right) q^{2j(3j+1)}, \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$H_{2n+1} \left(1, \frac{q-1}{q} | q \right) = q^{-n} \sum_{j=-n}^n \left(\binom{2n+1}{n-3j} - \binom{2n+1}{n-3j-1} \right) q^{2j(3j+2)}. \quad (4.17)$$

Proof. Note that

$$H_{2n} \left(1, \frac{q-1}{q} | q \right) = \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\binom{2n}{n-k} - \binom{2n}{n-k-1} \right) q^k F_{2k+1} \left(1, -\frac{1}{q} \right), \quad (4.18)$$

$$H_{2n+1} \left(1, \frac{q-1}{q} | q \right) = \frac{1}{q^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \left(\binom{2n+1}{n+1-k} - \binom{2n+1}{n-k} \right) q^{k-1} F_{2k} \left(1, -\frac{1}{q} \right). \quad (4.19)$$

Recall (see [3]) that

$$F_{3n} \left(1, -\frac{1}{q} \right) = 0, \quad F_{3n+1} \left(1, -\frac{1}{q} \right) = (-1)^n q^{r(n)}, \quad F_{3n+2} \left(1, -\frac{1}{q} \right) = (-1)^n q^{r(-n)}. \quad (4.20)$$

Hence

- if $k = 3j$ then $2k+1 = 6j+1$ and $q^k F_{2k+1} \left(1, -\frac{1}{q} \right) = q^{3j} F_{6j+1} \left(1, -\frac{1}{q} \right) = q^{2j(3j+1)}$.
- if $k = 3j+1$ then $2k+1 = 6j+3$ and $q^k F_{2k+1} \left(1, -\frac{1}{q} \right) = 0$.
- If $k = 3j+2$ then $2k+1 = 6j+5$ and $q^k F_{2k+1} \left(1, -\frac{1}{q} \right) = q^{3j+2}$.
- if $k = 3j$ then $2k = 6j$ and $q^{k-1} F_{2k} \left(1, -\frac{1}{q} \right) = 0$.
- if $k = 3j+1$ then $2k = 6j+2$ and $q^{k-1} F_{2k} \left(1, -\frac{1}{q} \right) = q^{2j(3j+2)}$.
- If $k = 3j+2$ then $2k = 6j+4$ and $q^{k-1} F_{2k} \left(1, -\frac{1}{q} \right) = -q^{(3j+1)(2j+2)}$.

Substituting the above values into (4.18) and (4.19) yields (4.16) and (4.17). ■

Finally, from (4.12) and (2.8) we derive two explicit formulae for the coefficient $c(n, k, q)$.

Proposition 14. *If $k \equiv n \pmod{2}$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} c(n, k, q) &= \sum_{m \in M(n, k)} q^{c(m) + \text{cr}(m)} \\ &= (1 - q)^{-\frac{n-k}{2}} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{n}{\frac{n-k-2j}{2}} (-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \frac{[k+2j]}{[k+j]} \begin{bmatrix} k+j \\ j \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

$$= (1 - q)^{-\frac{n-k}{2}} \sum_{j \geq 0} \left(\binom{n}{\frac{n-k-2j}{2}} - \binom{n}{\frac{n-k-2j-2}{2}} \right) (-1)^j q^{\binom{j+1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} k+j \\ k \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.22)$$

In the next section we shall give another proof by using the orthogonality of the continuous q -Hermite polynomials. Some remarks about the above formula are in order.

- (a) Formula (4.22) has been obtained with different means by Josuat-Vergès [10, Proposition 12] and is also used in [5]. It is easy to see that (4.21) and (4.22) are equal by writing

$$\frac{[k+2j]}{[k+j]} = q^j + \frac{[j]}{[k+j]}.$$

- (b) When $k = 0$, we recover a formula of Touchard-Riordan (see [2, 9, 14]):

$$c(2n, 0, q) = \sum_{m \in M(2n, 0)} q^{\text{cr}(m)} = \frac{1}{(1 - q)^n} \sum_{j=-n}^n \binom{2n}{n+j} (-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}}. \quad (4.23)$$

- (c) Notice that $H_{2n}(0, -1|q) = c(2n, 0, q)$ and $H_{2n+1}(0, -1|q) = c(2n+1, 0, q) = 0$. Hence

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} c(n, 0, q) t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{t^2}{1 - \frac{[2]_q t^2}{1 - \frac{[3]_q t^2}{1 - \dots}}}}.$$

We derive then a known result (see [9]): the coefficient $c(n, 0, q)$ coincides with the n -th moment of the continuous q -Hermite polynomials $\tilde{H}(x, 1|q)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}(z^n) = c(n, 0, q),$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the linear functional on the polynomials in z defined by $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{H}_n(x, 1|q)) = \delta_{n,0}$.

As in [11] we can derive another double sum expression for $H_n(x, s|q)$. We omit the proof.

Proposition 15. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(x, s|q) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k q^{-\binom{k}{2}} \sum_{i=0}^k \left(\frac{s}{x(q-1)} q^{-i} + x q^i \right)^n \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^k \frac{1}{q^{-i} - q^{-j} + x^2 \frac{q-1}{s} (q^i - q^j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

5. APPENDIX

5.1. Some well-known facts. In this section we recall some well-known facts about orthogonal polynomials (see [2, 18, 17]). Let $p_n(x)$ be a sequence of polynomials which satisfies the three term recurrence relation

$$p_{n+1}(x) = (x - b_n)p_n(x) - \lambda_n p_{n-1}(x) \quad (5.1)$$

with initial values $p_0(x) = 1$ and $p_{-1}(x) = 0$.

Define the coefficients $a(n, k)$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$) by

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a(n, k) p_k(x) = x^n. \quad (5.2)$$

These are characterized by the *Stieltjes tableau*:

$$\begin{aligned} a(0, k) &= \delta_{k,0}, \\ a(n, 0) &= b_0 a(n-1, 0) + \lambda_1 a(n-1, 1), \\ a(n, k) &= a(n-1, k-1) + b_k a(n-1, k) + \lambda_{k+1} a(n-1, k+1). \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

If $\mathcal{F}$ is the linear functional such that $\mathcal{F}(p_n(x)) = \delta_{n,0}$, then

$$\mathcal{F}(x^n) = a(n, 0). \quad (5.4)$$

The generating function of the moments has the continued fraction expansion

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}(x^n) z^n = \frac{1}{1 - b_0 z - \frac{\lambda_1 z^2}{1 - b_1 z - \frac{\lambda_2 z^2}{1 - \dots}}}. \quad (5.5)$$

The Hankel determinants for the moments are

$$d(n, 0) = \det(\mathcal{F}(z^{i+j}))_{i,j=0}^{n-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{k=1}^i \lambda_k, \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$d(n, 1) = \det(\mathcal{F}(z^{i+j+1}))_{i,j=0}^{n-1} = d(n, 0)(-1)^n p_n(0). \quad (5.7)$$

As an example we want to give another simple proof of (1.10).

Proposition 16. *The continuous q -Hermite polynomials $\tilde{H}_n(x, s|q)$ defined by (1.9), i.e.,*

$$\tilde{H}_{n+1}(x, s|q) = x \tilde{H}_n(x, s|q) - s[n]_q \tilde{H}_{n-1}(x, s|q), \quad (5.8)$$

are the moments of the measure of the orthogonal polynomials $p_n(z) := P_n(z; x, -s, 0)$ defined by the recurrence

$$p_{n+1}(z) = (z - xq^n)p_n(z) + sq^{n-1}[n]_q p_{n-1}(z). \quad (5.9)$$

Proof. Let $b_n = q^n x$ and $\lambda_{n+1} = (-s)q^n[n+1]_q$ for $n \geq 0$. It is sufficient to verify that in this case (5.3) is satisfied with

$$a(n, k) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \tilde{H}_{n-k}(z, s|q). \quad (5.10)$$

This is clearly equivalent to (5.8). ■

We derive immediately the Hankel determinants

$$d(n, 0) = (-s)^{\binom{n}{2}} q^{\binom{n}{3}} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} [j]_q!, \quad (5.11)$$

and

$$d(n, 1) = d(n, 0)r(n), \quad (5.12)$$

where $r(n) = (-1)^n p_n(0; x, -s, 0)$.

Note that the polynomials $r(n)$ satisfy

$$r(n) = q^{n-1} x r(n-1) + q^{n-2} s [n-1]_q r(n-2).$$

This implies that

$$r(n) = q^{\frac{n(n-2)}{2}} \tilde{H}_n \left(x\sqrt{q}, -s \middle| \frac{1}{q} \right). \quad (5.13)$$

The first terms of the sequence $\tilde{H}_n(x, s|q)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} &1, \ x, \ -s + x^2, \ x(-(2+q)s + x^2), \ (1+q+q^2)s^2 - (3+2q+q^2)sx^2 + x^4, \\ &x((3+4q+4q^2+3q^3+q^4)s^2 - (4+3q+2q^2+q^3)sx^2 + x^4). \end{aligned}$$

From their recurrence relation we see that

$$\tilde{H}_{2n}(0, s|q) = (-s)^n [2n-1]_q!! \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{H}_{2n+1}(0, s|q) = 0.$$

5.2. A second proof of Proposition 14. We now give a second proof of Proposition 14 using Theorem 5 and the orthogonality of the continuous q -Hermite polynomials. Clearly Theorem 5 is equivalent to

$$x^n = \sum_{k \equiv n \pmod{2}} c(n, k, q) s^{(n-k)/2} \tilde{H}_k(x, s|q). \quad (5.14)$$

To compute $c(n, k, q)$ we can take $s = 1$ and let $\tilde{H}_n(x|q) = \tilde{H}_n(x, s|q)$. It is known (see [9]) that the continuous q -Hermite polynomials $(\tilde{H}_n(x|q))$ are orthogonal with respect to the linear functional φ defined by

$$\varphi(x^n) = \int_{-2/\sqrt{1-q}}^{2/\sqrt{1-q}} x^n v(x, q) dx, \quad (5.15)$$

where

$$v(x, q) = \frac{\sqrt{(1-q)}(q)_\infty}{\sqrt{1-(1-q)x^2/44\pi}} \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} \{1 + (2 - (1-q)x^2)q^k + q^{2k}\}.$$

17

Since $\varphi((\tilde{H}_k(x|q))^2) = [k]_q!$, it follows from (5.14) that, for $k \equiv n \pmod{2}$,

$$c(n, k, q) = \frac{1}{[k]_q!} \varphi(x^n \tilde{H}_k(x|q)). \quad (5.16)$$

Recall the well-known formula (see [9])

$$x^{2n} = \sum_{j=-n}^n \binom{2n}{n+j} T_{2j}(x/2), \quad (5.17)$$

where $T_n(\cos \theta) = \cos(n\theta) = T_{-n}(\cos \theta)$ is the n th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind. By using the Jacobi triple product formula and the terminating q -binomial formula, we can prove (see [7, p. 307]) that, for any integer j and $a = \sqrt{1-q}$,

$$\varphi(T_{n-2j}(ax/2) \tilde{H}_n(x|q)) = \frac{(-1)^{n+j}}{2a^n} q^{\binom{n-j}{2}} \{(q^{-n+j+1}; q)_n + q^{n-j} (q^{-n+j}; q)_n\}. \quad (5.18)$$

It follows from (5.16), (5.17) and (5.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} c(2n, 2k, q) &= \frac{a^{-2n}}{[2k]_q!} \sum_{j=-n}^n \binom{2n}{n+j} \varphi(T_{2j}(ax/2) \tilde{H}_{2k}(x|q)) \\ &= \frac{(1-q)^{-(n-k)}}{(q; q)_{2k}} \sum_{j=-n}^n \binom{2n}{n+j} \frac{(-1)^{k+j}}{2} q^{\binom{k+j}{2}} \{(q^{-k-j+1}; q)_{2k} + q^{k+j} (q^{-k-j}; q)_{2k}\} \end{aligned} \quad (5.19)$$

Since $(q^{-k-j+1}; q)_{2k}$ is zero if $j \neq -n, \dots, -k$ and $j \neq k+1, \dots, n$, and $(q^{-k-j}; q)_{2k}$ is zero if $j \neq -n, \dots, -k-1$ or $j \neq k, \dots, n$, we can split the last summation into the following four summations:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{j=-n}^{-k} \binom{2n}{n+j} \frac{(-1)^{k+j}}{2} q^{\binom{k+j}{2}} (q^{-k-j+1}; q)_{2k}, \\ S_2 &= \sum_{j=k+1}^n \binom{2n}{n+j} \frac{(-1)^{k+j}}{2} q^{\binom{k+j}{2}} (q^{-k-j+1}; q)_{2k}, \\ S_3 &= \sum_{j=-n}^{-k-1} \binom{2n}{n+j} \frac{(-1)^{k+j}}{2} q^{\binom{k+j}{2}} q^{k+j} (q^{-k-j}; q)_{2k}, \\ S_4 &= \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{2n}{n+j} \frac{(-1)^{k+j}}{2} q^{\binom{k+j}{2}} q^{k+j} (q^{-k-j}; q)_{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

It is readily seen, by replacing j by $-j$ in S_1 and S_3 , that $S_1 = S_4$ and $S_2 = S_3$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} c(2n, 2k, q) &= \frac{(1-q)^{-(n-k)}}{(q; q)_{2k}} (S_2 + S_4) \\ &= (1-q)^{-(n-k)} \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{2n}{n+k+j} (-1)^j q^{\binom{j}{2}} \frac{[2k+2j]}{[2k+j]} \begin{bmatrix} 2k+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

This corresponds to (4.21) for even indices. To derive the formula for odd indices we can use (2.9) to get

$$c(2n+1, 2k+1, q) = [2k+2]_q c(2n, 2k+2, q) + c(2n, 2k, q),$$

and then apply (5.20).

Acknowledgement. The authors thank the two anonymous referees for useful comments on a previous version of this paper. The second author was supported by the project PhysComb (ANR-08-Blan-0243-03).

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, 71, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] M. Aigner, *A course in enumeration*, Graduate texts in Mathematics 238, Springer, 2007.
- [3] J. Cigler, A new class of q -Fibonacci polynomials, *Electr. J. Comb.* 10(2003), #R19.
- [4] J. Cigler, q -Lucas polynomials and associated Rogers-Ramanujan type identities, arXiv:0907.0165.
- [5] S. Corteel, M. Josuat-Vergès, T. Prellberg, M. Rubey, Matrix Ansatz, lattice paths and rook placements, *Proc. FPSAC'09*.
- [6] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series, Second Edition*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 96, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [7] M. E. H. Ismail, *Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 98, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [8] M. E. H. Ismail, D. Stanton, More orthogonal polynomials as moments, *Mathematical essays in honor of Gian-Carlo Rota* (Cambridge, MA, 1996), 377–396, *Progr. Math.*, 161, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1998.
- [9] M. E. H. Ismail, D. Stanton, X. G. Viennot, The combinatorics of q -Hermite polynomials and the Askey-Wilson integral, *European J. Combin.* 8 (1987), no. 4, 379–392.
- [10] M. Josuat-Vergès, Rook placements in Young diagrams and permutation enumeration, arXiv:0811.0524v2.
- [11] A. Kasraoui, D. Stanton, J. Zeng, The Combinatorics of Al-Salam-Chihara q -Laguerre polynomials, arXiv:0810.3232.
- [12] D. S. Kim and J. Zeng, On a continued fraction formula of Wall, *Ramanujan J.* 4 (2000), no. 4, 421–427.
- [13] R. Koekoek and R. Swarttouw, The Askey scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q -analogue, Report 98-17, TU Delft.
- [14] J. G. Penaud, Une preuve bijective d’une formule de Touchard-Riordan, *Discrete Math.*, 139 (1995), 347–360.
- [15] J. Riordan, *Combinatorial identities*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1968.
- [16] A. Varvak, Rook numbers and the normal ordering problem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 112 (2005), no. 2, 292–307.
- [17] X. G. Viennot, *Une théorie combinatoire de polynômes orthogonaux*, Lecture Notes, Université du Québec à Montréal 1984.
- [18] H. S. Wall, *Analytical theory of continued fractions*, Chelsea, New York, 1967.
- [19] J. Zeng, The q -Stirling numbers, continued fractions and the q -Charlier and q -Laguerre polynomials, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 57 (1995), no. 3, 413–424.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, A-1090 WIEN, ÖSTERREICH
E-mail address: johann.cigler@univie.ac.at

UNIVERSITÉ DE LYON, UNIVERSITÉ LYON 1, INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, UMR 5208 DU CNRS, 43, BOULEVARD DU 11 NOVEMBRE 1918, F-69622 VILLEURBANNE CEDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: zeng@math.univ-lyon1.fr